## BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

FINANCE DOCKET NO. 35045	224002
DULUTH, MISSABE AND IRON RAY RAILWAY COMPANY - TRACKAGE RIGHTS EXEMPTIO DULUTH, WINNIPEG, AND PACIF RAILWAY COMPANY	N –
FINANCE DOCKET NO. 35046	224003
DULUTH, MISSABE AND IRON RAI RAILWAY COMPANY – TRACKAGE RIGHTS EXEMPTIO DULUTH, WINNIPEG, AND PACIF RAILWAY COMPANY	NGE N -
FINANCE DOCKET NO. 35047	224004
WISCONSIN CENTRAL LTD  - TRACKAGE RIGHTS EXEMPTION DULUTH, MISSABE AND IRON RAN RAILWAY COMPANY	)N –

	FINANCE DOCKET NO. 35048	224005
	WISCONSIN CENTRAL LTD TRACKAGE RIGHTS EXEMPTION OULUTH, WINNIPEG AND PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY	<b>V</b> —
	FINANCE DOCKET NO. 35049	224006
DULUTH, WIIS	SSABE AND IRON RANGE RAILW.  - TRACKAGE RIGHTS -  WISCONSIN CENTRAL	
	FINANCE DOCKET NO. 35050	224007
•	INNIPEG AND PACIFIC RAILWA' FRACKAGE RIGHTS EXEMPTION WISCONSIN CENTRAL, LTD	

UNITED TRANSPORTATION UNION'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A REPLY TO A REPLY

> Daniel R Elliott, III United Transportation Union 14600 Detroit Avenue Cleveland, OH 44107 Tel (216) 228-9400 Fax (216) 228-0937

United Transportation Union ("UTU") respectfully moves the Board for leave to file a reply to a reply to UTU's petition to revoke in the above-captioned proceedings. While UTU is aware that 49 C F R § 1104-13(c) prohibits a reply to a reply, UTU believes one is necessary here to bring to the Board's attention various facts that have occurred between the date UTU filed its petition to revoke on July 23, 2007 and the date Duluth, Missabe and Iron Range Railway Company (DMIR), Duluth, Winnipeg and Pacific Railway Company ("DWP"), and Wisconsin Central Ltd ("WCL") filed their reply on October 28, 2008

Generally, during that time period, DWP, DMIR and WCL have sought to eliminate the DWP and DMIR collective bargaining agreements and bring all three of these railroads under a version of the WCL collective bargaining agreement as UTU foreward in its petition to revoke These carriers brought their plans to UTU's attention by serving a New York Dock Section 4 notice on UTU on September 24, 2007. This intention by the carriers to bring these three carriers under one agreement directly conflicts with these carriers' statements in their reply herein that they have no plans to change any collective bargaining agreements on these three rail properties. UTU now feels it must set the record straight by filing a reply to a reply in order to inform the Board of these contradictory statements and the actual facts at hand. As a result, UTU seeks leave to file its reply to a reply which is submitted herewith

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Daniel R Elliott

Daniel R Elliott, III
Associate General Counsel
United Transportation Union
14600 Detroit Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44107
Tel (216) 228-9400
Fax (216) 228-0937

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing United Transportation Union's Motion for Leave to File a Reply to a Reply has been served this 18th day of November, 2008, via first-class, postage pre-paid mail, upon the following

Thomas J Healey Counsel-Regulatory Canadian National 17641 S Ashland Avenue Homewood, IL 60430 (708) 332-4381

William C Sippel Thomas J Litwiler Fletcher & Sippel LLC 29 North Wacker Drive, Stc 920 Chicago, IL 60606-2832 (312) 252-1500

/s/ Daniel R\_Elliott
Daniel R\_Elliott, III